COURT-II IN THE APPELLATE TRIBUNAL FOR ELECTRICITY

(Appellate Jurisdiction)

APPEAL NO. 211 OF 2018 & IA NOS. 995 OF 2018 & 279 OF 2019

Dated: 27th February, 2019

Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.K. Patil, Judicial Member Present:

Hon'ble Mr. Ravindra Kumar Verma, Technical Member

In the matter of:

Tata Prasanna Kumar ...Appellant(s)

Versus

Karnataka Electricity Regulatory Commission & Anr. ...Respondent(s)

Counsel for the Appellant(s) Ms. Neha Garg

Counsel for the Respondent(s) Mr. Balaji Srinivasan

Mr. Siddhant Kohli for R-2

ORDER

(On IA NO. 279 OF 2019- Delay in filing reply by R-2)

The learned counsel, Mr. Siddhant Kohli, appearing for the Respondent No. 2 submitted that, there is a delay of 71 days in filing the reply which has been explained satisfactorily in the application and sufficient cause has been shown therein. The same may kindly be accepted and delay may kindly be condoned.

Submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 2, as stated supra, is placed on record.

In the light of the submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the Respondent No. 2 and after perusal of the application explaining the delay in filing the reply, we find it satisfactory as sufficient cause has been made out therein. The same is accepted and the delay in filing the reply is condoned. IA, being IA No. 279 of 2019 is allowed.

APPEAL NO. 211 OF 2018 & IA NO. 995 OF 2018

Learned counsel appearing for the Appellant prays for four weeks' time to file rejoinder to the reply filed by the Respondents.

Submission made by the learned counsel appearing for the Appellant as stated supra, is placed on record.

Learned counsel appearing for the Appellant is permitted to file rejoinder on or before 28.03.2019 after duly serving copy on the other side.

List the matter on <u>08.04.2019</u> as agreed by the learned counsel appearing for both the parties.

(Ravindra Kumar Verma) Technical Member Bn/kt (Justice N.K. Patil) Judicial Member